

CoST core indicators

No	Core indicator	Relevant programme log- frame indicator
1.	<p>Dates, by country, of achievement of preparatory CoST milestones:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of design of CoST concept and modification for detailed application at national level • NMSG establishment • Workplan approval • Appointment of CoST staff • Baseline survey 	<p>CoST institutional arrangements are in place</p> <p>Criteria for project selection are agreed and participating projects selected</p>
2.	<p>Dates, by pilot country, of achievement of initial CoST milestones</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalisation of project selection criteria • Identification of CoST projects 	<p>CoST institutional arrangements are in place</p> <p>Criteria for project selection are agreed and participating projects selected</p>
3.	<p>Dates of MSG meetings, number of participants, and percentage of participation of each category of stakeholder</p>	<p>MSGs are established that are representative and effective</p>
4.	<p>Agreement on, quality of, and adherence to a written constitution to define the governance of the MSG</p>	<p>MSGs are established that are representative and effective</p>
5.	<p>5.1. For Clients approached for participation in CoST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number selected, and agreeing/ declining with time lapse between request and agreement <p>5.2. For Consultants/Contractors on ongoing projects approached for participation in CoST</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number selected, and agreeing/declining with time lapse between request and 	<p>MSGs are established that are representative and effective</p>

	agreement	
6.	6.1. Percentage of companies expressing interest in projects 6.2. Percentage of shortlisted companies who went on to bid	Stakeholders are committed to the CoST process and principles
7.	Contract value of CoST projects	Progress with extending CoST disclosure
8.	Proportion (by value and number) of CoST to (all) non-CoST projects in selected client group(s)	Progress with extending CoST disclosure
9.	Number of "lesson sharing" case studies, in standard format, by country, a) formally prepared and submitted b) shared across other pilot countries and c) leading to adoption in at least one other pilot country	Progress in commissioning effective central support functions
10.	What Material Project Information (MPI) is required to be made publicly available? Of this, for a sample of Procuring Entities, what MPI is being made publicly available?	Transparency
11.	On CoST projects, what MPI is being made publicly available, and through what media?	Transparency
12.	Number of CoST projects, and proportion subjected to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summary Assurance process (presenting MPI and reporting on its completeness); and • In depth Assurance process (presenting MPI and commenting on its accuracy and possible implications) 	Transparency
13.	Frequency and nature of accessing CoST-related MPI and reports by NSAs, Government bodies, and others. (Use of and downloads from web site to be monitored)	Transparency
14.	Challenges raised, by stakeholders including MSG members, through media, parliament or other fora as a result of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • release of CoST MPI • CoST assurance process 	Accountability
15.	Response to challenges raised by stakeholders as a result of CoST, as demonstrated through:	Accountability

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarification provided • Further investigation promised 	
16.	Number of public enquiries, police or judicial investigations launched as a result of CoST-related challenges wholly or partly upheld	Accountability
17.	The time from contract commencement date to completion date as a percentage of original contract programme at commencement date	Accountability
18.	Percentage of projects considered by assurance team to have a high risk of governance failure	Accountability
19.	(For countries looking at works supervision contracts) Average % changes from <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial estimated price to Contract price on commencement date and • Contract price on commencement date to Final cost at completion date (contract for works supervision) 	Accountability
20.	For construction works contract: Average % changes from <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engineers estimated cost to Contract price on commencement date and • Contract price on commencement date to Final cost at completion date 	Accountability
21.	Instances of re-award of main contract, with reasons	Accountability
22.	Number and value of instructions to the contractor to remedy defective work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Issued • Implemented 	Accountability
23.	Number of countries a) expressing interest in CoST b) formally seeking to join CoST	Accountability